



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,597	10/26/2001	Curtis W. Heisey	3740.US.P	6523
56436	7590	12/23/2008	EXAMINER	
3COM CORPORATION 350 CAMPUS DRIVE MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752-3064				KISS, ERIC B
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2192				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: CURTIS W. HEISEY, RAVINDRA V. GOKHALE, and
KATHY A. KAMINSKI

Application No. 10/016,597
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: December 23, 2008

Before KRISTA ZELE *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*
ZELE, *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on September 26, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, APPEALED CLAIMS

Appellants have not appealed all rejected claims. Specifically, a review of the Grounds of Rejection on the record finds that rejections are outstanding for the following pending claims: 1-37. The rejected claims that have not been appealed and/or argued for appeal are claims 19-37.

DISCUSSION

The Board of Appeals and Interferences (Board), in *Ex parte Ghuman*, <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/prec/rm081175.pdf> (BPAI May 14, 2008) (precedential), held that in appeals where rejected claims are expressly withdrawn, or are implicitly withdrawn by not presenting arguments in support of patentability, the Board will remand (or return) the application to the Examiner with instructions to cancel the expressly or implicitly withdrawn claims. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1215.03 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007).

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) enter a paper canceling claims 19-37; and
- 2) upon entry of the paper, to return the application to the Board for the consideration of appealed claims;
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 10/016,597

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/cdc

3COM CORPORATION
350 CAMPUS DRIVE
MARLBOROUGH MA 01752-3064